MAC with Action-Dependent State Information at One Encoder

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai

Ben Gurion university, Technion

November, 2012

This work has been supported by the CORNET Consortium Israel Ministry for Industry and Commerce

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

Outline

- Motivation and history
- Problem setting
- Main results
- Achievability and converse outline
- The Gaussian channel
 - The action-dependent MAC
 - The action-dependent point-to-point channel
- Rate distortion dual
- Summary

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

• Channels with state information model a communication situation where the channel is time variant:

• Channels with state information model a communication situation where the channel is time variant:



the channel is memoryless without feedback: $p(y^n|x^n,s^n,m) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i|x_i,s_i)$

• Capacity of a channels where the states are available causally to the encoder [Shannon58].

• Interference in a wireless network

- Interference in a wireless network
- Uncertainty about channel

- Interference in a wireless network
- Uncertainty about channel
- Jamming (arbitrarily varying channel)

- Interference in a wireless network
- Uncertainty about channel
- Jamming (arbitrarily varying channel)
- Channel fading

- Interference in a wireless network
- Uncertainty about channel
- Jamming (arbitrarily varying channel)
- Channel fading
- Write-Once-Memory (WOM)

- Interference in a wireless network
- Uncertainty about channel
- Jamming (arbitrarily varying channel)
- Channel fading
- Write-Once-Memory (WOM)
- Memory with defects

- Interference in a wireless network
- Uncertainty about channel
- Jamming (arbitrarily varying channel)
- Channel fading
- Write-Once-Memory (WOM)
- Memory with defects
- Feedback from the receiver

Noncausal state information

 Channels with noncausal side information at the encoder [Gelfand & Pinsker 80]

Noncausal state information

 Channels with noncausal side information at the encoder [Gelfand & Pinsker 80]



Noncausal state information

 Channels with noncausal side information at the encoder [Gelfand & Pinsker 80]



Theorem

$$C = \max_{p(u,x|s)} \left[I(U;Y) - I(U;S) \right],$$

for some joint distribution p(s, u, x, y) = p(s)p(u|s)p(x|u, s)p(y|x, s).

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

One application for such a model is the Write-once memory such as a ROM or a CD-ROM.

One application for such a model is the Write-once memory such as a ROM or a CD-ROM.

Models a memory with stuck-at faults



One application for such a model is the Write-once memory such as a ROM or a CD-ROM.

Models a memory with stuck-at faults



 The writer (encoder) who knows the locations of the faults (by first reading the memory)

One application for such a model is the Write-once memory such as a ROM or a CD-ROM.

Models a memory with stuck-at faults



- The writer (encoder) who knows the locations of the faults (by first reading the memory)
- It wishes to reliably store information in a way that does not require the reader (decoder) to know the locations of the faults

MAC with noncausal state information

 MAC with states available at one encoder [Somekh-Baruch,Shamai & Verdú 07] [Kotagiri/Laneman07]

MAC with noncausal state information

 MAC with states available at one encoder [Somekh-Baruch,Shamai & Verdú 07] [Kotagiri/Laneman07]



MAC with noncausal state information

 MAC with states available at one encoder [Somekh-Baruch,Shamai & Verdú 07] [Kotagiri/Laneman07]



Theorem

$R_2 \le I(U; Y|X_1) - I(U; S|X_1)$ $R_1 + R_2 \le I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S)$

for some joint distribution $p(s, x_1, u, x_2, y) = p(s)p(x_1)p(u, x_2|s, x_1)p(y|s, x_1, x_2)$.

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

Action-dependent states

• Channels with Action-Dependent States [Wiessman10]

Action-dependent states

Channels with Action-Dependent States [Wiessman10]



Action-dependent states

Channels with Action-Dependent States [Wiessman10]



Theorem

$$C = \max \left[I(U;Y) - I(U;S|A) \right]$$

=
$$\max \left[I(A,U;Y) - I(U;S|A) \right]$$

for some joint distribution

$$p(a, s, u, x, y) = p(a)p(s|a)p(u|s, a)\mathbf{1}_{x=f(u,s)}p(y|x, s).$$

Motivation



• One interpretation of the action can be a noisy public relay.



- One interpretation of the action can be a noisy public relay.
- Provide a function of the message to the transmitter: A(M) and get S, via the memoryless noisy transformation p(s|a).



- One interpretation of the action can be a noisy public relay.
- Provide a function of the message to the transmitter: A(M) and get S, via the memoryless noisy transformation p(s|a).
- The relay outputs are public, and monitored before hand, thus *S* is known at transmitter.

Problem setting

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

Problem setting

 MAC with Action-Dependent State Information at One Encoder

Problem setting

 MAC with Action-Dependent State Information at One Encoder



Main Results

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

Main Results



Main Results



Theorem

$$R_2 \le I(U; Y|X_1) - I(U; S|A, X_1)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \le I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S|A)$$

for some joint distribution

 $p(x_1)p(a|x_1)p(s|a)p(u|s, a, x_1)p(x_2|x_1, s, u)p(y|s, x_1, x_2)$ and $|\mathcal{U}| \leq |\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{S}||\mathcal{X}_1||\mathcal{X}_2| + 1.$

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai

MAC with Action-Dependent State

Intuition

Taking $\tilde{U} = (A, U)$, the following region is equivalent

$$R_2 \leq I(A, U; Y | X_1) - I(U; S | X_1, A)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X_1, A, U; Y) - I(X_1, U; S | A)$$
Taking $\tilde{U} = (A, U)$, the following region is equivalent

$$R_2 \leq I(A, U; Y | X_1) - I(U; S | X_1, A)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X_1, A, U; Y) - I(X_1, U; S | A)$$

Notice that we can express the capacity region as:

$$R_2 \leq I(A;Y|X_1) + I(U;Y|X_1,A) - I(U;S|X_1,A)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(A;Y) + I(X_1,U;Y|A) - I(X_1,U;S|A).$$

Taking $\tilde{U} = (A, U)$, the following region is equivalent

$$R_2 \leq I(A, U; Y | X_1) - I(U; S | X_1, A)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X_1, A, U; Y) - I(X_1, U; S | A)$$

Notice that we can express the capacity region as:

$$R_2 \leq I(A; Y|X_1) + I(U; Y|X_1, A) - I(U; S|X_1, A)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(A; Y) + I(X_1, U; Y|A) - I(X_1, U; S|A).$$

• The informed encoder transmits information using the action sequence *A*.

Taking $\tilde{U} = (A, U)$, the following region is equivalent

$$R_2 \leq I(A, U; Y | X_1) - I(U; S | X_1, A)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X_1, A, U; Y) - I(X_1, U; S | A)$$

Notice that we can express the capacity region as:

$$R_2 \leq I(A; Y|X_1) + I(U; Y|X_1, A) - I(U; S|X_1, A)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(A; Y) + I(X_1, U; Y|A) - I(X_1, U; S|A).$$

- The informed encoder transmits information using the action sequence *A*.
- This is used at the decoder to decode a second transmission, hence the conditioning.

Taking $\tilde{U} = (A, U)$, the following region is equivalent

$$R_2 \leq I(A, U; Y | X_1) - I(U; S | X_1, A)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X_1, A, U; Y) - I(X_1, U; S | A)$$

Notice that we can express the capacity region as:

$$R_2 \leq I(A;Y|X_1) + I(U;Y|X_1,A) - I(U;S|X_1,A)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq I(A;Y) + I(X_1,U;Y|A) - I(X_1,U;S|A).$$

- The informed encoder transmits information using the action sequence *A*.
- This is used at the decoder to decode a second transmission, hence the conditioning.
- By Gel'fand-Pinsker given A: (U, X_1) can be decoded.

Another presentation for the capacity region can be achieved by applying the chain rule and the Markov $X_1 - A - S$:

 $R_2 \leq I(A;Y|X_1) + I(U;Y|X_1,A) - I(U;S|X_1,A)$ $R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X_1;Y) + I(A;Y|X_1) + I(U;Y|X_1,A) - I(U;S|X_1,A)$ Another presentation for the capacity region can be achieved by applying the chain rule and the Markov $X_1 - A - S$:

 $R_{2} \leq I(A;Y|X_{1}) + I(U;Y|X_{1},A) - I(U;S|X_{1},A)$ $R_{1} + R_{2} \leq I(X_{1};Y) + I(A;Y|X_{1}) + I(U;Y|X_{1},A) - I(U;S|X_{1},A)$

The corner points (R_1, R_2) :

$$\begin{pmatrix} I(X_1;Y) + I(A;Y|X_1) + I(Y;U|A,X_1) - I(S;U|A,X_1) &, & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I(X_1;Y) &, & I(A;Y|X_1) + I(U;Y|X_1,A) - I(U;S|X_1,A) \end{pmatrix}$$

Corner Points



Special Case

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

• Malfunction of the Action Encode.

- Malfunction of the Action Encode.
- We cannot choose an action that effects the formation of the states.

- Malfunction of the Action Encode.
- We cannot choose an action that effects the formation of the states.
- The Informed Encoder still knows the states noncausaly.

- Malfunction of the Action Encode.
- We cannot choose an action that effects the formation of the states.
- The Informed Encoder still knows the states noncausaly.
- The following expressions I(U; S|A) and $I(X_1, U; S|A)$, become I(U; S) and $I(X_1, U; S)$ respectively.
- We have the capacity:

$$R_2 \le I(U; Y|X_1) - I(U; S|X_1)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \le I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S)$$

The main idea is based on a three-part coding scheme:



• The uninformed encoder transmits X_1 at rate $I(X_1; Y)$.

The main idea is based on a three-part coding scheme:

- **()** The uninformed encoder transmits X_1 at rate $I(X_1; Y)$.
- The informed encoder chooses an action Aⁿ. As a result a state Sⁿ is generated.

The main idea is based on a three-part coding scheme:

- **()** The uninformed encoder transmits X_1 at rate $I(X_1; Y)$.
- The informed encoder chooses an action Aⁿ. As a result a state Sⁿ is generated.
 - The action sequence is sent at rate $I(A; Y|X_1)$.

The main idea is based on a three-part coding scheme:

- The uninformed encoder transmits X_1 at rate $I(X_1; Y)$.
- The informed encoder chooses an action Aⁿ. As a result a state Sⁿ is generated.
 - The action sequence is sent at rate $I(A; Y|X_1)$.

Solution The informed encoder transmits using a Gel'fand-Pinsker scheme at rate $I(U; Y|A, X_1) - I(U; S|A, X_1)$.

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

- Choose the codeword $X_1(M_1)$ from Encoder 1's codebook of size 2^{nR_1} .
- Choose an action sequence $A^n(M_1, M_2)$.

- Choose the codeword $X_1(M_1)$ from Encoder 1's codebook of size 2^{nR_1} .
- Choose an action sequence $A^n(M_1, M_2)$.
- As a result, a state S^n is generated.

- Choose the codeword $X_1(M_1)$ from Encoder 1's codebook of size 2^{nR_1} .
- Choose an action sequence $A^n(M_1, M_2)$.
- As a result, a state S^n is generated.
- Encoder 2 chooses a codeword $U^n(k)$ from bin (M_1, M_2) such that $(U^n, X_1^n, A^n, S^n) \in \mathcal{T}_{\epsilon}^{(n)}(U, X_1, A, S)$.

- Choose the codeword $X_1(M_1)$ from Encoder 1's codebook of size 2^{nR_1} .
- Choose an action sequence $A^n(M_1, M_2)$.
- As a result, a state S^n is generated.
- Encoder 2 chooses a codeword $U^n(k)$ from bin (M_1, M_2) such that $(U^n, X_1^n, A^n, S^n) \in \mathcal{T}_{\epsilon}^{(n)}(U, X_1, A, S)$.
- The decoder looks for the smallest value of (\hat{M}_1, \hat{M}_2) for which exists a \hat{k} such that: $(U^n(\hat{M}_1, \hat{M}_2, k), X_1^n(\hat{M}_1), A^n(\hat{M}_1, \hat{M}_2), Y^n) \in \mathcal{T}_{\epsilon}^{(n)}(U, X_1, A, Y).$

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

• Encoder 1 generates a codebook of 2^{nR_1} codewords $\sim p(x_1)$.

• Encoder 1 generates a codebook of 2^{nR_1} codewords $\sim p(x_1)$.

```
2^{nR_1} codewords x_1^n \sim p(x_1)
```



• Encoder 2 generates $2^{n(R_1+R_2)}$ action sequences $A^n(m_1,m_2) \sim p(a|x_1)$.

- Encoder 2 generates $2^{n(R_1+R_2)}$ action sequences $A^n(m_1,m_2) \sim p(a|x_1)$.
- Generate $2^{n(R_1+R_2)}$ bins, one for each set of messages (m_1, m_2) .

- Encoder 2 generates $2^{n(R_1+R_2)}$ action sequences $A^n(m_1,m_2) \sim p(a|x_1)$.
- Generate $2^{n(R_1+R_2)}$ bins, one for each set of messages (m_1, m_2) .
- Generate randomly $2^{n\tilde{R}}$ codewords $u^n(1), ..., u^n(2^{n\tilde{R}})$ according to $\sim p(u|a, x_1)$.

- Encoder 2 generates $2^{n(R_1+R_2)}$ action sequences $A^n(m_1,m_2) \sim p(a|x_1)$.
- Generate $2^{n(R_1+R_2)}$ bins, one for each set of messages (m_1, m_2) .
- Generate randomly $2^{n\tilde{R}}$ codewords $u^n(1), ..., u^n(2^{n\tilde{R}})$ according to $\sim p(u|a, x_1)$.
- Distribute the codewords uniformly to the bins, giving us a subcodebook $c(m_1, m_2)$ for each message set of $2^{n(\tilde{R}-(R_1+R_2))}$ codewords.



We have to show that for any $(2^{nR_1},2^{nR_2},n)$ code with $P_{\rm error}\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ we must have

$$R_2 \le I(U; Y|X_1) - I(U; S|A, X_1)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \le I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S|A)$$

We have to show that for any $(2^{nR_1},2^{nR_2},n)$ code with $P_{\rm error}\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ we must have

$$R_2 \le I(U;Y|X_1) - I(U;S|A,X_1)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \le I(U,X_1;Y) - I(U,X_1;S|A)$$

• We use Fano's inequality in the form of $H(M_1, M_2 | Y^n) \le n(R_1 + R_2)P_e^{(n)} + H(P_e^{(n)}).$

We have to show that for any $(2^{nR_1}, 2^{nR_2}, n)$ code with $P_{\rm error} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ we must have

$$R_2 \le I(U; Y|X_1) - I(U; S|A, X_1)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \le I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S|A)$$

- We use Fano's inequality in the form of $H(M_1, M_2|Y^n) \le n(R_1 + R_2)P_e^{(n)} + H(P_e^{(n)}).$
- We use the Csiszar sum identity, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_{i+1}^{n}; Y_i|Y^{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(Y^{i-1}; X_i|X_{i+1}^{n})$

We have to show that for any $(2^{nR_1},2^{nR_2},n)$ code with $P_{\rm error}\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ we must have

$$R_2 \le I(U;Y|X_1) - I(U;S|A,X_1)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \le I(U,X_1;Y) - I(U,X_1;S|A)$$

- We use Fano's inequality in the form of $H(M_1, M_2|Y^n) \le n(R_1 + R_2)P_e^{(n)} + H(P_e^{(n)}).$
- We use the Csiszar sum identity, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_{i+1}^{n}; Y_i|Y^{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(Y^{i-1}; X_i|X_{i+1}^{n})$
- We identify our auxiliary random variable, $U_i = (X_1^{i-1}, X_{i+1}^n, S_{i+1}^n, Y^{i-1}, A^n, M_1, M_2).$

We have to show that for any $(2^{nR_1},2^{nR_2},n)$ code with $P_{\rm error}\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ we must have

$$R_2 \le I(U;Y|X_1) - I(U;S|A,X_1)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \le I(U,X_1;Y) - I(U,X_1;S|A)$$

- We use Fano's inequality in the form of $H(M_1, M_2|Y^n) \le n(R_1 + R_2)P_e^{(n)} + H(P_e^{(n)}).$
- We use the Csiszar sum identity, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_{i+1}^{n}; Y_i | Y^{i-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(Y^{i-1}; X_i | X_{i+1}^{n})$
- We identify our auxiliary random variable, $U_i = (X_1^{i-1}, X_{i+1}^n, S_{i+1}^n, Y^{i-1}, A^n, M_1, M_2).$
- We use a time-sharing random variable *Q* uniformly distributed in {1, 2, ..., *n*}.

Main Results



Theorem

$$R_2 \le I(U; Y|X_1) - I(U; S|A, X_1)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \le I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S|A)$$

for some joint distribution

 $p(x_1)p(a|x_1)p(s|a)p(u|s, a, x_1)p(x_2|x_1, s, u)p(y|s, x_1, x_2)$ and $|\mathcal{U}| \leq |\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{S}||\mathcal{X}_1||\mathcal{X}_2| + 1.$

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai

MAC with Action-Dependent State

Gaussian Channel-Channel Model

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State
• The channel probability is defined by the following relations between *X*₁, *X*₂, *S* and *Y*:

$$Y_i = X_{1,i}(M_1) + X_{2,i}(M_1, M_2, S^n) + S_i + Z_i$$

= $X_{1,i}(M_1) + X_{2,i}(M_1, M_2, S^n) + A_i(M_1, M_2) + W_i + Z_i$

• The channel probability is defined by the following relations between *X*₁, *X*₂, *S* and *Y*:

$$Y_i = X_{1,i}(M_1) + X_{2,i}(M_1, M_2, S^n) + S_i + Z_i$$

= $X_{1,i}(M_1) + X_{2,i}(M_1, M_2, S^n) + A_i(M_1, M_2) + W_i + Z_i$

•
$$S^n = A^n(M_1, M_2) + W^n$$
.

• The channel probability is defined by the following relations between *X*₁, *X*₂, *S* and *Y*:

$$Y_i = X_{1,i}(M_1) + X_{2,i}(M_1, M_2, S^n) + S_i + Z_i$$

= $X_{1,i}(M_1) + X_{2,i}(M_1, M_2, S^n) + A_i(M_1, M_2) + W_i + Z_i$

•
$$S^n = A^n(M_1, M_2) + W^n$$
.

Zⁿ and Wⁿ are independent, Wⁿ is i.i.d.∼ N(0, Q) and Zⁿ is i.i.d.∼ N(0, N).

• The channel probability is defined by the following relations between *X*₁, *X*₂, *S* and *Y*:

$$Y_i = X_{1,i}(M_1) + X_{2,i}(M_1, M_2, S^n) + S_i + Z_i$$

= $X_{1,i}(M_1) + X_{2,i}(M_1, M_2, S^n) + A_i(M_1, M_2) + W_i + Z_i$

•
$$S^n = A^n(M_1, M_2) + W^n$$
.

- Zⁿ and Wⁿ are independent, Wⁿ is i.i.d.∼ N(0,Q) and Zⁿ is i.i.d.∼ N(0,N).
- We have the following power constraints:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{1i})^2 \le P_1 \qquad \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{2i})^2 \le P_2$$

and
$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_i)^2 \le P_A.$$

Results-Gaussian Action MAC

Theorem

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

Results-Gaussian Action MAC

Theorem

$$\begin{split} R_{2} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left(N + P_{2} + P_{A} + Q - P_{2}\rho_{12}^{2} - P_{A}\rho_{1A}^{2} + 2\sqrt{P_{2}P_{A}\rho_{2A}} - 2\sqrt{P_{2}P_{A}\rho_{12}\rho_{1A}} + 2\sqrt{P_{2}Q}\rho_{2W}}{N\left((\rho_{1A}^{2} - 1)(N + Q + P_{2}\rho_{2W}^{2} + 2\sqrt{P_{2}Q}\rho_{2W}) - P_{2}\Delta\right)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \log \left(N(\rho_{1A}^{2} - 1) - P_{2}\Delta\right) \\ R_{1} + R_{2} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left(N + P_{1} + P_{2} + P_{A} + Q + 2\sqrt{P_{1}P_{2}\rho_{12}} + 2\sqrt{P_{1}P_{A}\rho_{1A}} + 2\sqrt{P_{2}P_{A}\rho_{2A}} + 2\sqrt{P_{2}Q}\rho_{2W}\right)}{N\left((\rho_{1A}^{2} - 1)(N + Q + P_{2}\rho_{2W}^{2} + 2\sqrt{P_{2}Q}\rho_{2W}) - P_{2}\Delta\right)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \log \left(N(\rho_{1A}^{2} - 1) - P_{2}\Delta\right) \\ \text{for some } \rho_{12} &\in [-1, 1], \ \rho_{1A} \in [-1, 1], \ \rho_{2A} \in [-1, 1], \\ \rho_{2W} \in [-1, 1] \text{ where} \\ \Delta &= 1 - \rho_{12}^{2} - \rho_{1A}^{2} - \rho_{2A}^{2} - \rho_{2W}^{2} + \rho_{1A}^{2}\rho_{2W}^{2} + 2\rho_{1A}\rho_{2A}\rho_{12}, \\ \text{such that} \end{split}$$

$$\Delta \ge 0.$$

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai

MAC with Action-Dependent State

Capacity Region-Gaussian Action MAC



Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

 We state two lemmas that show that our region is upper-bounded by:

$$R_{2} \leq I(U;Y|X_{1}) - I(U;S|A,X_{1})$$

$$\leq I(A;Y|X_{1}) + h(X_{2}|X_{1},A,W) - h(X_{2} - \hat{X}_{2}^{\mathsf{lin}}(X_{1},A,W,X_{2} + Z))$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 + R_2 &\leq I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S|A) \\ &\leq I(A, X_1; Y) + h(X_2|X_1, A, W) - h(X_2 - \hat{X}_2^{\mathsf{lin}}(X_1, A, W, X_2 + Z)) \end{aligned}$$

 We state two lemmas that show that our region is upper-bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &\leq I(U;Y|X_1) - I(U;S|A,X_1) \\ &\leq I(A;Y|X_1) + h(X_2|X_1,A,W) - h(X_2 - \hat{X}_2^{\mathsf{lin}}(X_1,A,W,X_2 + Z)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 + R_2 &\leq I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S|A) \\ &\leq I(A, X_1; Y) + h(X_2|X_1, A, W) - h(X_2 - \hat{X}_2^{\mathsf{lin}}(X_1, A, W, X_2 + Z)) \end{aligned}$$

• We show that it suffices to consider only jointly Gaussian random variables.

 We state two lemmas that show that our region is upper-bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &\leq I(U;Y|X_1) - I(U;S|A,X_1) \\ &\leq I(A;Y|X_1) + h(X_2|X_1,A,W) - h(X_2 - \hat{X}_2^{\mathsf{lin}}(X_1,A,W,X_2+Z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\sigma_Y^2|X_1\sigma_W^2|Y,X_1,A}{QN}\right) \\ R_1 + R_2 &\leq I(U,X_1;Y) - I(U,X_1;S|A) \\ &\leq I(A,X_1;Y) + h(X_2|X_1,A,W) - h(X_2 - \hat{X}_2^{\mathsf{lin}}(X_1,A,W,X_2+Z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\sigma_Y^2\sigma_W^2|Y,X_1,A}{QN}\right) \end{aligned}$$

 We show that it suffices to consider only jointly Gaussian random variables.

 We state two lemmas that show that our region is upper-bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &\leq I(U;Y|X_1) - I(U;S|A,X_1) \\ &\leq I(A;Y|X_1) + h(X_2|X_1,A,W) - h(X_2 - \hat{X}_2^{\mathsf{lin}}(X_1,A,W,X_2+Z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\sigma_Y^2|X_1\sigma_W^2|Y,X_1,A}{QN}\right) \\ R_1 + R_2 &\leq I(U,X_1;Y) - I(U,X_1;S|A) \\ &\leq I(A,X_1;Y) + h(X_2|X_1,A,W) - h(X_2 - \hat{X}_2^{\mathsf{lin}}(X_1,A,W,X_2+Z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{\sigma_Y^2\sigma_W^2|Y,X_1,A}{QN}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- We show that it suffices to consider only jointly Gaussian random variables.
- Now we define $E[X_1^2] \triangleq \sigma_{X_1}^2$, $E[X_2^2] \triangleq \sigma_{X_2}^2$, $E[A^2] \triangleq \sigma_A^2$ and calculate the expression.

$$\begin{split} R_2 &\leq I(U; Y|X_1) - I(U; S|A, X_1) \\ &\leq I(A; Y|X_1) + h(X_2|X_1, A, W) - h(X_2 - \hat{X}_2^{\text{lin}}(X_1, A, W, X_2 + Z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \big(\frac{\sigma_{Y|X_1}^2 \sigma_{W|Y,X_1, A}^2}{QN} \big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{ \left(N + \sigma_{X_2}^2 + \sigma_A^2 + Q - \sigma_{X_2}^2 \rho_{12}^2 - \sigma_A^2 \rho_{1A}^2 + 2\sqrt{\sigma_{X_2}^2 \sigma_A^2} \rho_{2A} - 2\sqrt{\sigma_{X_2}^2 \sigma_A^2} \rho_{12} \rho_{1A} + 2\sqrt{\sigma_{X_2}^2 Q} \rho_{2W} \big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{ \left(N + \sigma_{X_2}^2 + \sigma_A^2 + Q - \sigma_{X_2}^2 \rho_{12}^2 - \sigma_A^2 \rho_{1A}^2 + 2\sqrt{\sigma_{X_2}^2 \sigma_A^2} \rho_{2A} - 2\sqrt{\sigma_{X_2}^2 \sigma_A^2} \rho_{12} \rho_{1A} + 2\sqrt{\sigma_{X_2}^2 Q} \rho_{2W} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \log \left(N(\rho_{1A}^2 - 1) - \sigma_{X_2}^2 \Delta \right) \end{split}$$

such that

$$\sigma_{X_1}^2 \le P_1 \quad \sigma_{X_2}^2 \le P_2 \quad \sigma_A^2 \le P_A.$$

$$\begin{split} &R_1 + R_2 \leq I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S|A) \\ &\leq I(A, X_1; Y) + h(X_2|X_1, A, W) - h(X_2 - \hat{X}_2^{\mathsf{lin}}(X_1, A, W, X_2 + Z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \big(\frac{\sigma_Y^2 \sigma_{W|Y, X_1, A}^2}{QN} \big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left(N + \sigma_{X_1}^2 + \sigma_{X_2}^2 + \sigma_A^2 + Q + 2\sqrt{\sigma_{X_1}^2 \sigma_{X_2}^2} \rho_{12} + 2\sqrt{\sigma_{X_1}^2 \sigma_A^2} \rho_{1A} + 2\sqrt{\sigma_{X_2}^2 \sigma_A^2} \rho_{2A} + 2\sqrt{\sigma_{X_2}^2 Q} \rho_{2W} \right)}{N \Big((\rho_{1A}^2 - 1)(N + Q + \sigma_{X_2}^2 \rho_{2W}^2 + 2\sqrt{\sigma_{X_2}^2 Q} \rho_{2W}) - \sigma_{X_2}^2 \Delta \Big)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \log \Big(N(\rho_{1A}^2 - 1) - \sigma_{X_2}^2 \Delta \Big) \end{split}$$

such that

$$\sigma_{X_1}^2 \le P_1 \quad \sigma_{X_2}^2 \le P_2 \quad \sigma_A^2 \le P_A$$

The values of the covariances are such that the covariance matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{X_1}^2 & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{1A} & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{X_2}^2 & \sigma_{2A} & \sigma_{2W} & 0 \\ \sigma_{1A} & \sigma_{2A} & \sigma_A^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{2W} & 0 & Q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & N \end{pmatrix},$$

satisfies the nonnegative-definiteness condition

$$\det\left(\Lambda\right) = \sigma_{1A}^2 \sigma_{2W}^2 N \sigma_{X_1}^2 \sigma_A^2 + 2\sigma_{12}\sigma_{1A}\sigma_{2A} N Q - \sigma_{2A}^2 N \sigma_{X_1}^2 Q - \sigma_{12}^2 N \sigma_A^2 Q + N \sigma_{X_1}^2 \sigma_{X_2}^2 \sigma_A^2 Q + N \sigma_{X_1}^2 \sigma_{X_2}^2 \sigma_A^2 Q + N \sigma_{X_1}^2 Q + N \sigma_{X_1}^2 \sigma_A^2 Q + N \sigma_{X_1}^2 Q$$

or equivalently as a function of $\rho_{12}, \rho_{1A}, \rho_{2A}$ and ρ_{2W}

$$1 - \rho_{12}^2 - \rho_{1A}^2 - \rho_{2A}^2 - \rho_{2W}^2 + \rho_{1A}^2 \rho_{2W}^2 + 2\rho_{1A}\rho_{2A}\rho_{12} \ge 0$$

• We show that replacing $\sigma_{X_1}^2$, $\sigma_{X_2}^2$, σ_A^2 with P_1 , P_2 and P_A respectively, further increases the region.

- We show that replacing $\sigma_{X_1}^2$, $\sigma_{X_2}^2$, σ_A^2 with P_1 , P_2 and P_A respectively, further increases the region.
- Substituting $\sigma_{X_1}^2$, $\sigma_{X_2}^2$, σ_A^2 with P_1 , P_2 and P_A , we obtain the capacity region of the theorem.

- We show that replacing $\sigma_{X_1}^2$, $\sigma_{X_2}^2$, σ_A^2 with P_1 , P_2 and P_A respectively, further increases the region.
- Substituting $\sigma_{X_1}^2$, $\sigma_{X_2}^2$, σ_A^2 with P_1 , P_2 and P_A , we obtain the capacity region of the theorem.
- To conclude, the upper bound is obtained as an optimization problem on $\rho_{12} \in [-1, 1]$, $\rho_{1A} \in [-1, 1]$, $\rho_{2A} \in [-1, 1]$ and $\rho_{2W} \in [-1, 1]$.

- We show that replacing $\sigma_{X_1}^2$, $\sigma_{X_2}^2$, σ_A^2 with P_1 , P_2 and P_A respectively, further increases the region.
- Substituting $\sigma_{X_1}^2$, $\sigma_{X_2}^2$, σ_A^2 with P_1 , P_2 and P_A , we obtain the capacity region of the theorem.
- To conclude, the upper bound is obtained as an optimization problem on $\rho_{12} \in [-1, 1]$, $\rho_{1A} \in [-1, 1]$, $\rho_{2A} \in [-1, 1]$ and $\rho_{2W} \in [-1, 1]$.
- In the achievability part, we show that this bound is also achievable.

• We choose specific distributions of our r.v.

- We choose specific distributions of our r.v.
- We take (X_1, X_2, A, W, Y) to be jointly Gaussian.

- We choose specific distributions of our r.v.
- We take (X_1, X_2, A, W, Y) to be jointly Gaussian.
- We choose random variables $X_1 \sim N(0, P_1)$, $X_2 \sim N(0, P_2)$, $A \sim N(0, P_A)$.

- We choose specific distributions of our r.v.
- We take (X_1, X_2, A, W, Y) to be jointly Gaussian.
- We choose random variables $X_1 \sim N(0, P_1)$, $X_2 \sim N(0, P_2)$, $A \sim N(0, P_A)$.
- We choose the auxiliary r.v.

$$U = X_1 + X_2 + \beta S = X_1 + X_2 + \beta (A + W).$$

Proof Outline-Direct Part

• Substituting $U = X_1 + X_2 + \beta(A + W)$ in the capacity region:

$$R_2 \le I(U; Y|X_1) - I(U; S|A, X_1)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \le I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S|A)$$

Proof Outline-Direct Part

• Substituting $U = X_1 + X_2 + \beta(A + W)$ in the capacity region:

$$R_2 \le I(U; Y|X_1) - I(U; S|A, X_1)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \le I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S|A)$$

we achieve the equalities of the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &\leq I(U;Y|X_1) - I(U;S|A,X_1) \\ &= I(A;Y|X_1) + h(X_2|X_1,A,W) - h(X_2 - \hat{X}_2^{\mathsf{lin}}(X_1,A,W,X_2 + Z)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} R_1 + R_2 &\leq I(U, X_1; Y) - I(U, X_1; S | A) \\ &= I(A, X_1; Y) + h(X_2 | X_1, A, W) - h(X_2 - \hat{X}_2^{\text{lin}}(X_1, A, W, X_2 + Z)) \end{split}$$

 The capacity for the Gaussian action-dependent point-to-point channel, was left open in [Wiessman10].

- The capacity for the Gaussian action-dependent point-to-point channel, was left open in [Wiessman10].
- We find the capacity for the the action dependent point-to-point channel by taking similar steps.

- The capacity for the Gaussian action-dependent point-to-point channel, was left open in [Wiessman10].
- We find the capacity for the the action dependent point-to-point channel by taking similar steps.
- We can derive the capacity of the p.t.p channel from the Action-MAC by taking $R_1 = 0$.

- The capacity for the Gaussian action-dependent point-to-point channel, was left open in [Wiessman10].
- We find the capacity for the the action dependent point-to-point channel by taking similar steps.
- We can derive the capacity of the p.t.p channel from the Action-MAC by taking $R_1 = 0$.
- We give an alternative proof for the capacity of the point to point channel

- The capacity for the Gaussian action-dependent point-to-point channel, was left open in [Wiessman10].
- We find the capacity for the the action dependent point-to-point channel by taking similar steps.
- We can derive the capacity of the p.t.p channel from the Action-MAC by taking $R_1 = 0$.
- We give an alternative proof for the capacity of the point to point channel
- We obtain a one-to-one correspondence with the Gaussian GGP MAC [Somekh-Baruch,Shamai & Verdú 07]: with only a common message.

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

 An information-theoretic duality between our Action-MAC and the "Successive Refinement with Actions" [Chia, Asnani & Weissman 11].

- An information-theoretic duality between our Action-MAC and the "Successive Refinement with Actions" [Chia, Asnani & Weissman 11].
- For a given channel-coding problem, we obtain a rate-distortion problem and vice versa.

- An information-theoretic duality between our Action-MAC and the "Successive Refinement with Actions" [Chia, Asnani & Weissman 11].
- For a given channel-coding problem, we obtain a rate-distortion problem and vice versa.
- The roles of the encoders and decoders are functionally interchangeable.

- An information-theoretic duality between our Action-MAC and the "Successive Refinement with Actions" [Chia, Asnani & Weissman 11].
- For a given channel-coding problem, we obtain a rate-distortion problem and vice versa.
- The roles of the encoders and decoders are functionally interchangeable.
- The input-output joint distribution is equivalent with some renaming of variables.

- An information-theoretic duality between our Action-MAC and the "Successive Refinement with Actions" [Chia, Asnani & Weissman 11].
- For a given channel-coding problem, we obtain a rate-distortion problem and vice versa.
- The roles of the encoders and decoders are functionally interchangeable.
- The input-output joint distribution is equivalent with some renaming of variables.
- Recognizing this duality, further dualities emerge:
Duality Channel-Source Coding with Action

- An information-theoretic duality between our Action-MAC and the "Successive Refinement with Actions" [Chia, Asnani & Weissman 11].
- For a given channel-coding problem, we obtain a rate-distortion problem and vice versa.
- The roles of the encoders and decoders are functionally interchangeable.
- The input-output joint distribution is equivalent with some renaming of variables.
- Recognizing this duality, further dualities emerge:
 - A rate distortion dual for the action dependent point-to-point channel.

Duality Channel-Source Coding with Action

- An information-theoretic duality between our Action-MAC and the "Successive Refinement with Actions" [Chia, Asnani & Weissman 11].
- For a given channel-coding problem, we obtain a rate-distortion problem and vice versa.
- The roles of the encoders and decoders are functionally interchangeable.
- The input-output joint distribution is equivalent with some renaming of variables.
- Recognizing this duality, further dualities emerge:
 - A rate distortion dual for the action dependent point-to-point channel.
 - 2 A rate distortion dual for the GGP MAC.

The "Successive Refinement with Actions" model

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

The "Successive Refinement with Actions" model



The "Successive Refinement with Actions" model



Theorem

 $R_1 \geq I(X; \hat{X}_1)$ $R_1 + R_2 \geq I(X; \hat{X}_1) + I(A; X | \hat{X}_1) + I(X; U | X, A, \hat{X}_1)$

for some joint distribution $P(x, a, u, s, \hat{x}_1) = P(x)P(a, u, \hat{x}_1|x)P(s|x, a)$

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai

MAC with Action-Dependent State

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

$\textbf{Channel Coding} \leftrightarrow \textbf{Rate Distortion}$

• Encoder inputs / Decoder outputs: \leftrightarrow Decoder inputs / Encoder outputs: $M_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \leftrightarrow T_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\}$ $M_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\} \leftrightarrow T_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\}$

- Encoder inputs / Decoder outputs: \leftrightarrow Decoder inputs / Encoder outputs: $M_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \leftrightarrow T_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\}$ $M_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\} \leftrightarrow T_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\}$
- Encoder outputs / Channel input: \leftrightarrow Decoder output / Source reconstruction: $X_1^n, X_2^n \leftrightarrow \hat{X}_1^n, \hat{X}_2^n$

- Encoder inputs / Decoder outputs: \leftrightarrow Decoder inputs / Encoder outputs: $M_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \leftrightarrow T_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\}$ $M_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\} \leftrightarrow T_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\}$
- Encoder outputs / Channel input: \leftrightarrow Decoder output / Source reconstruction: $X_1^n, X_2^n \leftrightarrow \hat{X}_1^n, \hat{X}_2^n$
- $\bullet \ \mbox{Decoder input / Channel output: } \leftrightarrow \mbox{Encoder input / Source: } Y^n \leftrightarrow X^n$

- Encoder inputs / Decoder outputs: \leftrightarrow Decoder inputs / Encoder outputs: $M_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \leftrightarrow T_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\}$ $M_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\} \leftrightarrow T_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\}$
- Encoder outputs / Channel input: \leftrightarrow Decoder output / Source reconstruction: $X_1^n, X_2^n \leftrightarrow \hat{X}_1^n, \hat{X}_2^n$
- Decoder input / Channel output: \leftrightarrow Encoder input / Source: $Y^n \leftrightarrow X^n$
- Encoder functions: \leftrightarrow Decoder functions: $f_1: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1^n \leftrightarrow g_1: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_1^n$ $f_2: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \mathcal{S}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2^n \leftrightarrow$ $g_2: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \mathcal{S}^n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_2^n$

- Encoder inputs / Decoder outputs: \leftrightarrow Decoder inputs / Encoder outputs: $M_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \leftrightarrow T_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\}$ $M_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\} \leftrightarrow T_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\}$
- Encoder outputs / Channel input: \leftrightarrow Decoder output / Source reconstruction: $X_1^n, X_2^n \leftrightarrow \hat{X}_1^n, \hat{X}_2^n$
- Decoder input / Channel output: \leftrightarrow Encoder input / Source: $Y^n \leftrightarrow X^n$
- Action encoder: \leftrightarrow Action strategy: $f_A : \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \to \mathcal{A}^n \leftrightarrow f_A : \mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2 \to \mathcal{A}^n$

- Encoder inputs / Decoder outputs: \leftrightarrow Decoder inputs / Encoder outputs: $M_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \leftrightarrow T_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\}$ $M_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\} \leftrightarrow T_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\}$
- Encoder outputs / Channel input: \leftrightarrow Decoder output / Source reconstruction: $X_1^n, X_2^n \leftrightarrow \hat{X}_1^n, \hat{X}_2^n$
- Decoder input / Channel output: \leftrightarrow Encoder input / Source: $Y^n \leftrightarrow X^n$
- Encoder functions: \leftrightarrow Decoder functions: $f_1: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1^n \leftrightarrow g_1: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_1^n$ $f_2: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \mathcal{S}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2^n \leftrightarrow$ $g_2: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \mathcal{S}^n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_2^n$
- Action encoder: \leftrightarrow Action strategy: $f_A : \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n \leftrightarrow f_A : \mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$
- U auxiliary random variable \leftrightarrow U auxiliary random variable

- Encoder inputs / Decoder outputs: \leftrightarrow Decoder inputs / Encoder outputs: $M_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \leftrightarrow T_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\}$ $M_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\} \leftrightarrow T_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\}$
- Encoder outputs / Channel input: \leftrightarrow Decoder output / Source reconstruction: $X_1^n, X_2^n \leftrightarrow \hat{X}_1^n, \hat{X}_2^n$
- Decoder input / Channel output: \leftrightarrow Encoder input / Source: $Y^n \leftrightarrow X^n$
- Encoder functions: \leftrightarrow Decoder functions: $f_1: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1^n \leftrightarrow g_1: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_1^n$ $f_2: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \mathcal{S}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2^n \leftrightarrow$ $g_2: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \mathcal{S}^n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_2^n$
- Action encoder: \leftrightarrow Action strategy: $f_A : \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n \leftrightarrow f_A : \mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$
- U auxiliary random variable \leftrightarrow U auxiliary random variable
- S state information $\leftrightarrow S$ side information

- Encoder inputs / Decoder outputs: \leftrightarrow Decoder inputs / Encoder outputs: $M_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \leftrightarrow T_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\}$ $M_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\} \leftrightarrow T_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\}$
- Encoder outputs / Channel input: \leftrightarrow Decoder output / Source reconstruction: $X_1^n, X_2^n \leftrightarrow \hat{X}_1^n, \hat{X}_2^n$
- Decoder input / Channel output: \leftrightarrow Encoder input / Source: $Y^n \leftrightarrow X^n$
- Encoder functions: \leftrightarrow Decoder functions: $f_1: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1^n \leftrightarrow g_1: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_1^n$ $f_2: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \mathcal{S}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2^n \leftrightarrow$ $g_2: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \mathcal{S}^n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_2^n$
- Action encoder: \leftrightarrow Action strategy: $f_A : \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n \leftrightarrow f_A : \mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$
- U auxiliary random variable \leftrightarrow U auxiliary random variable
- S state information $\leftrightarrow S$ side information

- Encoder inputs / Decoder outputs: \leftrightarrow Decoder inputs / Encoder outputs: $M_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \leftrightarrow T_1 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\}$ $M_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\} \leftrightarrow T_2 \in \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_2}\}$
- Encoder outputs / Channel input: \leftrightarrow Decoder output / Source reconstruction: $X_1^n, X_2^n \leftrightarrow \hat{X}_1^n, \hat{X}_2^n$
- Decoder input / Channel output: \leftrightarrow Encoder input / Source: $Y^n \leftrightarrow X^n$
- Encoder functions: \leftrightarrow Decoder functions: $f_1: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1^n \leftrightarrow g_1: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_1^n$ $f_2: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \mathcal{S}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2^n \leftrightarrow$ $g_2: \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \{1, 2, ..., 2^{nR_1}\} \times \mathcal{S}^n \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_2^n$
- Action encoder: \leftrightarrow Action strategy: $f_A : \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n \leftrightarrow f_A : \mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^n$
- U auxiliary random variable \leftrightarrow U auxiliary random variable
- S state information $\leftrightarrow S$ side information
- Markov $S A X_1 \leftrightarrow \text{Markov } S (A, X) U, \hat{X}_1$



Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

The best way to "see" the duality relationship is to consider the corner points for the rate regions:

The best way to "see" the duality relationship is to consider the corner points for the rate regions:

• Recall the capacity region of the Action-MAC

$$R_2 \leq I(A;Y|X_1) + I(Y;U|A,X_1) - I(S;U|A,X_1)$$

 $R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X_1; Y) + I(A; Y|X_1) + I(Y; U|A, X_1) - I(S; U|A, X_1).$ (2)

The best way to "see" the duality relationship is to consider the corner points for the rate regions:

Recall the capacity region of the Action-MAC

$$R_2 \leq I(A; Y|X_1) + I(Y; U|A, X_1) - I(S; U|A, X_1)$$

 $R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X_1; Y) + I(A; Y|X_1) + I(Y; U|A, X_1) - I(S; U|A, X_1).$ (2)

• The corner points for this region are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I(X_1;Y) + I(A;Y|X_1) + I(Y;U|A,X_1) - I(S;U|A,X_1) &, & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I(X_1;Y) &, & I(A;Y|X_1) + I(U;Y|X_1,A) - I(U;S|X_1,A) \end{pmatrix}$$

The best way to "see" the duality relationship is to consider the corner points for the rate regions:

Recall the capacity region of the Action-MAC

$$R_2 \leq I(A; Y|X_1) + I(Y; U|A, X_1) - I(S; U|A, X_1)$$

 $R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X_1; Y) + I(A; Y|X_1) + I(Y; U|A, X_1) - I(S; U|A, X_1).$ (2)

• The corner points for this region are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I(X_1;Y) + I(A;Y|X_1) + I(Y;U|A,X_1) - I(S;U|A,X_1) &, & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I(X_1;Y) &, & I(A;Y|X_1) + I(U;Y|X_1,A) - I(U;S|X_1,A) \end{pmatrix}$$

 Recall the rate region for the "Successive Refinement with Actions"

 $R_1 \geq I(X; \hat{X}_1)$ $R_1 + R_2 \geq I(X; \hat{X}_1) + I(A; X | \hat{X}_1) + I(X; U | A, \hat{X}_1) - I(S; U | A, \hat{X}_1) (3)$

The best way to "see" the duality relationship is to consider the corner points for the rate regions:

• Recall the capacity region of the Action-MAC

$$R_2 \leq I(A; Y|X_1) + I(Y; U|A, X_1) - I(S; U|A, X_1)$$

 $R_1 + R_2 \leq I(X_1; Y) + I(A; Y|X_1) + I(Y; U|A, X_1) - I(S; U|A, X_1).$ (2)

• The corner points for this region are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I(X_1;Y) + I(A;Y|X_1) + I(Y;U|A,X_1) - I(S;U|A,X_1) &, & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I(X_1;Y) &, & I(A;Y|X_1) + I(U;Y|X_1,A) - I(U;S|X_1,A) \end{pmatrix}$$

 Recall the rate region for the "Successive Refinement with Actions"

 $R_1 \geq I(X; \hat{X}_1)$

 $R_1 + R_2 \geq I(X; \hat{X}_1) + I(A; X | \hat{X}_1) + I(X; U | A, \hat{X}_1) - I(S; U | A, \hat{X}_1)$ (3)

• The corner points for this region are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} I(\hat{X}_1; X) + I(A; X | \hat{X}_1) + I(X; U | A, \hat{X}_1) - I(S; U | A, \hat{X}_1) &, & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I(\hat{X}_1; X) &, & I(A; X | \hat{X}_1) + I(X; U | A, \hat{X}_1) - I(S; U | A, \hat{X}_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai

MAC with Action-Dependent State



Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

Duality between the action-dependent point-to-point channel and the source coding with side information "Vending Machine" [Permuter & Weissman 11]

Duality between the action-dependent point-to-point channel and the source coding with side information "Vending Machine" [Permuter & Weissman 11]



Duality between the action-dependent point-to-point channel and the source coding with side information "Vending Machine" [Permuter & Weissman 11]



$$R(D) = I(X; A) + I(X; U|A) - I(S; U|A) \qquad C = I(Y; A) + I(Y; U|A) - I(S; U|A)$$

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

Duality between the GGP MAC and the Stienberg-Merhav rate distortion setting [Stienberg & Merhav 04]:

Duality between the GGP MAC and the Stienberg-Merhav rate distortion setting [Stienberg & Merhav 04]:



$$R_{2} \leq I(Y; U|X_{1}) - I(S; U|X_{1})$$

$$R_{1} + R_{2} \leq I(X_{1}; Y) + I(Y; U|X_{1}) - I(S; U|X_{1}).$$
(4)

$$R_1 \geq I(X; \hat{X}_1) R_1 + R_2 \geq I(X; \hat{X}_1) + I(X; U|\hat{X}_1) - I(S; U|\hat{X}_1).$$
(5)

• We discussed state-dependent and action-dependent channel coding problems.

- We discussed state-dependent and action-dependent channel coding problems.
- We found the capacity region the action-dependent MAC.

- We discussed state-dependent and action-dependent channel coding problems.
- We found the capacity region the action-dependent MAC.
- We obtained the capacity of the Gaussian action-dependent MAC.

- We discussed state-dependent and action-dependent channel coding problems.
- We found the capacity region the action-dependent MAC.
- We obtained the capacity of the Gaussian action-dependent MAC.
- We found the capacity of the Gaussian p.t.p action-dependent channel, which was left open in [Wiessman10].

- We discussed state-dependent and action-dependent channel coding problems.
- We found the capacity region the action-dependent MAC.
- We obtained the capacity of the Gaussian action-dependent MAC.
- We found the capacity of the Gaussian p.t.p action-dependent channel, which was left open in [Wiessman10].
- We established rate distortion dualities of action dependent models.

- We discussed state-dependent and action-dependent channel coding problems.
- We found the capacity region the action-dependent MAC.
- We obtained the capacity of the Gaussian action-dependent MAC.
- We found the capacity of the Gaussian p.t.p action-dependent channel, which was left open in [Wiessman10].
- We established rate distortion dualities of action dependent models.

Thank you!
Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

 To solve the Gaussian case for the MAC, we needed to first solve the case of the point-to-point channel, left open in [Wiessman10].

 To solve the Gaussian case for the MAC, we needed to first solve the case of the point-to-point channel, left open in [Wiessman10].



• The channel model: $Y^{n} = X^{n}(M, S^{n}) + S^{n} + Z^{n} = X^{n}(M, S^{n}) + A^{n}(M) + W^{n} + Z^{n}$ • $S^{n} = A^{n}(M) + W^{n}$.

 To solve the Gaussian case for the MAC, we needed to first solve the case of the point-to-point channel, left open in [Wiessman10].



The channel model:

 $Y^{n} = X^{n}(M, S^{n}) + S^{n} + Z^{n} = X^{n}(M, S^{n}) + A^{n}(M) + W^{n} + Z^{n}$

•
$$S^n = A^n(M) + W^n$$
.

Zⁿ and Wⁿ are independent, Wⁿ is i.i.d.∼ N(0, Q) and Zⁿ is i.i.d.∼ N(0, N).

 To solve the Gaussian case for the MAC, we needed to first solve the case of the point-to-point channel, left open in [Wiessman10].



The channel model:

 $Y^{n} = X^{n}(M, S^{n}) + S^{n} + Z^{n} = X^{n}(M, S^{n}) + A^{n}(M) + W^{n} + Z^{n}$

•
$$S^n = A^n(M) + W^n$$
.

Zⁿ and Wⁿ are independent, Wⁿ is i.i.d.∼ N(0,Q) and Zⁿ is i.i.d.∼ N(0,N).

• We have the following power constraints: $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i)^2 \leq P_x$ and $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(A_i)^2 \leq P_A$.

 We look at the Gaussian MAC channel model (GGP channel) [Somekh-Baruch,Shamai & Verdú 07]

 We look at the Gaussian MAC channel model (GGP channel) [Somekh-Baruch,Shamai & Verdú 07]



 $Y^{n} = X_{1}(M_{1})^{n} + X_{2}^{n}(M_{1}, M_{2}, W^{n}) + W^{n} + Z^{n}.$

The capacity of the GGP MAC [Somekh-Baruch,Shamai & Verdú 07]:

The capacity of the GGP MAC [Somekh-Baruch,Shamai & Verdú 07]:

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P_2(1 - \rho_{12}^2 - \rho_{2S}^2)}{N} \right) \\ R_1 + R_2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P_2(1 - \rho_{12}^2 - \rho_{2S}^2)}{N} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{(\sqrt{P_1} + \sqrt{P_2})^2}{P_2(1 - \rho_{12}^2 - \rho_{2S}^2) + (\sigma_W + \rho_{2S}\sqrt{P_2})^2 N} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho_{12} = \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sqrt{P_1 P_2}}, \ \rho_{2W} = \frac{\sigma_{2W}}{\sqrt{P_2 Q}}$$

$$\rho_{12}^2 + \rho_{2W}^2 \le 1.$$

 How is this result relevant to the action-dependent Gaussian channel?

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State

 We found a one-to-one correspondence between the action-dependent Gaussain point-to-point channel and the GGP MAC.

- We found a one-to-one correspondence between the action-dependent Gaussain point-to-point channel and the GGP MAC.
- This is done by looking at the GGP MAC with only a common message:



 $Y^{n} = X_{1}(M)^{n} + X_{2}^{n}(M, W^{n}) + W^{n} + Z^{n}$

 We can look at the block of "Action Encoder" as the "Uninformed Encoder" and the block of "Channel Encoder" as the "Informed Encoder":

Action-dependent p-t-p channel	GGP channel with common message
A^n	X_1^n
X^n	$X_2^{\overline{n}}$
$f_A: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{A}^n$	$f_{X_1}: \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathcal{X}_1^n$
$f_X: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{X}^n$	$f_{X_2}: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{X}_2^n$

 We can look at the block of "Action Encoder" as the "Uninformed Encoder" and the block of "Channel Encoder" as the "Informed Encoder":

Action-dependent p-t-p channel	GGP channel with common message
A^n	X_1^n
X^n	$X_2^{\overline{n}}$
$f_A: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{A}^n$	$f_{X_1}: \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathcal{X}_1^n$
$f_X: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{X}^n$	$f_{X_2}: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}^n \to \mathcal{X}_2^n$

Notice we don't lose any of the properties of the settings.

The capacity is achieved by substituting:

•
$$M_2 = 0$$
, thus $R_2 = 0$,

•
$$P_1 = P_A$$
,

•
$$P_2 = P_X$$
,

• $\rho_{12} = \rho_{XA}$ and $\rho_{2W} = \rho_{XW}$, we have:

$$\begin{split} C &= \frac{1}{2} \log \Big(1 + \frac{P_X (1 - \rho_{XA}^2 - \rho_{XW}^2)}{N} \Big) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \log \Big(1 + \frac{(\sqrt{P_A} + \rho_{XA} \sqrt{P_X})^2}{P_X (1 - \rho_{XA}^2 - \rho_{XW}^2) + (\sigma_W + \rho_{XW} \sqrt{P_X})^2 + N} \Big), \end{split}$$

such that

$$\rho_{XA}^2 + \rho_{XW}^2 \le 1.$$

Similar results where obtained simultaneously and independently in [Choudhuri-Mitra,GLOBECOM'12].

Lior Dikstein, Haim Permuter and Shlomo (Shitz) Shamai MAC with Action-Dependent State